



## Hamilton-75-10.10 Implementation Committee & Progress Meeting

October 26, 2004

The following people were in attendance, have been in attendance at previous meetings, and/or assigned the responsibilities of “action” for items listed herein and designated accordingly:

Initials	Present	Representative	Organization	Action Item
<b>Project Team</b>				
MAC	X	Mike Ciotola	M•E Companies	
JH1	X	Jay Hamilton	ODOT, District 8	
JH2	X	Julie Homon	M•E Companies	
EP	X	Erin Peterson	Parsons Brinckerhoff	
SS		Susan Swartz	TranSystems	
AM		Andrew Morgan	TranSystems	
<b>Local Implementation Committee Members</b>				
GH		Greg Hilling	City of Reading Police	
MM	X	Mitch Miller	City of Sharonville	
TG	X	Timothy Gilday	Hamilton County Engineer's Office	
RK	X	Bob Koehler	OKI	
RL	X	Robert Lawson	Village of Arlington Heights	
JC		Jack Cameron	Village of Evendale	
WC		Walter Cordes	Village of Glendale	
CM	X	Cheryl Meadows	Village of Lincoln Heights	
ET		Esther Toran	Village of Lincoln Heights	
EK		Evonne Kovach	Village of Lockland	
JV	X	Joe Vogel	City of Cincinnati	
<b>ODOT Implementation Committee members</b>				
TB		Tim Bell	Central Office	
LH		Larry Hoffman	Central Office	
LS		Larry Sutherland	Central Office	
DG		Dirk Gross	Central Office	
JY		James Young	Central Office	
MC	X	Mark Clark	District 8	
HJ	X	Hans Jindal	District 8	
DM	X	Diana Martin	District 8	
JP		Jeff Pietch	District 8	

The October 26, 2004 Implementation Committee Meeting was held at 9:30 a.m. at the Village of Lincoln Heights Council Chamber. Below is a tabulated summary of the topics and action items discussed during the meeting. These are supplemented by the meeting handouts.

The next meeting will be December 7, 2004 at 9:30 a.m. Location TBD.

Notes/Action Items	Assignees
<p><b>I. Introductions</b></p>	
<p><b>II. Agenda</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Introductions</b></li> <li>2. <b>Review of Previous Meetings</b></li> <li>3. <b>General Progress Update</b></li> <li>4. <b>Public Involvement Items</b></li> <li>5. <b>Traffic Modeling Update</b></li> <li>6. <b>Conceptual Alternatives Evaluation and Recommendations</b></li> <li>7. <b>Future Meeting Schedule</b></li> <li>8. <b>Adjourn</b></li> </ol>	
<p>a. Mike Ciotola began the meeting with introductions around the table.</p> <p>b. A review of previous meeting discussions was given by Mike Ciotola. Previously, we have reviewed of the scope, schedule and the process that we will follow. We have discussed and agreed upon the broad project goals</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Improve congestion</li> <li>2. Improve Safety</li> <li>3. Improve Regional Mobility</li> <li>4. Develop Solutions compatible with local communities</li> </ol> <p>Mike also mentioned that the findings of initial traffic data collection and analysis efforts, the Red Flag Summary and the Purpose &amp; Need were reviewed at earlier meetings and the comments received from the I.C. were incorporated into our work.</p> <p>At the last meeting, we shared the conceptual alternatives that we would evaluate and the measures that we would use to evaluate them. At this meeting, we also had a working discussion about our Public Involvement efforts and the “draft” results of our conceptual alternative evaluations.</p> <p>c. General Progress Update The project is on schedule, currently in Step 4 of the PDP. Following review and comment and stakeholder involvement, we will be taking the results of the Planning Study Report and begin further evaluation/development/analysis of alternatives.</p> <p>d. Public Involvement Julie Homon gave an overview of the public involvement activities to date-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Survey packets were mailed out to IC members</li> <li>2. Total surveys sent out, 385, surveys returned to date, 57, all with positive feedback.</li> <li>3. There will be a website analysis at the next meeting and also the survey will be available on-line.</li> <li>4. <b>IC members need to give Julie thoughts on the schedule for the mobile display. Also, each member should provide Julie with a link for the website.</b></li> <li>5. There have been limited responses to the field crew letter that was sent out.</li> <li>6. A public meeting should be set up around the first of the year. This will be discussed further at the next meeting. Locations that were suggested were: Sharonville Convention Center, Evendale, Hamilton Co. Fairgrounds.</li> </ol>	<p>MAC, EP</p> <p>JH2</p> <p><b>I.C. Members</b></p> <p>JH2, EP</p>

Notes/Action Items	Assignees
<p>e. Traffic Modeling Update            At the August meeting, we demonstrated our traffic model. As you recall, we received some good feedback and your comments/suggestions have been incorporated into the model. The model is currently being reviewed by ODOT for validation. The validated model will then be used for reevaluating the next round of alternatives.            Jay-Make sure everyone is using the same assumptions. Videos also made so they can be used concurrent with the model. In addition to the videos, people have been sent out in the field to visually observe the current conditions.</p> <p>f. Conceptual Alternatives Evaluation and Recommendations            A summary of the evaluations and recommendations were presented by Erin. Keep in mind that these are drafts and subject to change.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There were 22 considered alternatives using mainline and interchange options.</li> <li>2. A total of 9 alternatives have been recommended for further development/analysis. This includes various combinations of the 22 alternatives.</li> </ol> <p>The Planning Study Report will be revised in accordance with your comments and each I.C. member will receive a complete copy by the end of November.</p>	
<p><b>IV. Next Meeting</b>            December 7, 2004, 9:30, location to be determined</p>	